

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/784,304	02/24/2004	Shinji Uchida	SHO-0064	8300	
23353 75	590 08/23/2005		EXAM	INER	
RADER FISH	IMAN & GRAUER PLL	С	ABRAMS, NEIL		
LION BUILDII	NG REET N.W., SUITE 501		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTO	•		2839	<u> </u>	
				_	

DATE MAILED: 08/23/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Sv
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	- X
	10/784,304	UCHIDA	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Neil Abrams	2839	
The MAILING DATE of this communicatio	n appears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence addre	ess
Period for Reply	EDIVIO DET TO EVDIDE A	AONTUKO) EDOM	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory in  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. ERR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a on. , a reply within the statutory minimum of the period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this comr	nunication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	7-19-2005		
	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for al	•		nerits is
closed in accordance with the practice un	der <i>Ex par</i> te <i>Quayle</i> , 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims .			
4) $\boxtimes$ Claim(s) $\frac{1}{1}$		application.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wit	hdrawn from consideration.		
5)⊠ Claim(s)	is/are	abjected to.	
	//// is/are re	jected.	
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		,	
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	and/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exa	aminer.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	] accepted or b) ☐ objected to	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection t	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the c			
Trib The path of declaration is objected to by the	THE EXAMINET. NOTE THE ATTACHE	to Office Action of form F10	-102.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo	reign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	t. b b		
<ul><li>1. Certified copies of the priority docu</li><li>2. Certified copies of the priority docu</li></ul>		Application No.	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the	•		age
application from the International B			- <del>-</del>
* See the attached detailed Office action for	a list of the certified copies no	t received.	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94	Paper No	o(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-1	52)
<ol> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>	6) Other: _		<i>52)</i>

Application/Control Number: 10/784,304

Art Unit: 2839

## **DETAILED ACTION**

<u>Title objected to, terms</u> "electrical connectors" and "shutters" should be used.

Page 2

Claim 5 objected to, line 2, "a shell for... housing;" should be deleted since it repeats

- 1. Claims 1-5, 8 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Germany 801 in view of Minds, Wu and Nagata.
- 2. Germany and Minds are applied as in best office action. Germany socket 20 (with Minds) meet claim 1 features except for a "shell covering the socket and covering a front face of the socket" and a shutter in the form of a "flat board".
- 3. Wu, figures 1 and 4 at 3 and Nagata, figures 8 and 10 at 45 disclose shield cases, like that recited, the Nagata shield noted to help retain the shutter. Nagata at 5, figures 10, 12 and 15, discloses a shutter in form of a flat plate. Obvious to use these type feature in Germany device. The shield would protect the connector from disturbances and the flat shutter would enable lower cost.
- 4. Even without other references, the formation of Germany shutter 30 as a flat simplification plate would only be an obvious matter of signification of the item. Claims 2-5 met by above combination with use of soldering tabs in view of Wu. Claims 8-9 define obvious variation. None of claims 2-5, 8-9 are seen to be subject to "specific arguments" in the Remarks and should stand or fall with claim 1.
- 5. Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Anyone of Germany 801 or Brickett.

Application/Control Number: 10/784,304

Art Unit: 2839

6. See last office action. Claim 11 is to "A plug" usable with a type of receptacle and not to specifics of the receptacle, therefore reference plugs meet claim language.

Page 3

- 7. Claims 1, 2, 10/1 and 10/2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brickett in view of Kameda, Kostich and Nagata.
- 8. Brickett discloses connector 12 (figures 1 and 3) with in line contacts 14, shutter disclose shutter to be 20 and spring 22. Brikett does not insulative or formed as flat member perpendicular to plug direction or use of a shell. Use of insulation for shutter taught by Kostich at 5, 14. Use of flat plate defines obvious variation producing no unexpected result and also suggested by Nagata at 5. Also obvious to use a shell in view of Nagata at 45, figure 9 for protection of the connector. For claim 10, Brickett plug has header 36 and a shutter 38 but lacks a frame to store the shutter. Kameda includes such a type frame at 20. Obvious to use such a frame on Brickett plug 36 to help guide shutter 38 as it retracts.
- 9. Applicant's arguments filed with the amendment have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 10. For claim 1, use of shell and flat plate shutter are suggested by newly recited reference Nagata and in addition, flat plate shutter produces no new result and use of shells is very well known in the art. For page 5, lines 21-23 use of "bezels 42a, 42b to retain shutter" are not recited in claims and arguments to such function cannot avoid the rejection.
- 11. For claim 10, no arguments are specifically applied and therefore the claim should stand or fall with claim 1.

Application/Control Number: 10/784,304 Page 4

Art Unit: 2839

12. Claims 10/3 and 10/4 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Neil Abrams at telephone number 571-272-2089

EXAMINER
ART UNIT 322